SPCRD GLOBAL PUBLISHING Sustainable Solutions
RAMSS Review of Applied Management and Social Sciences
Journal homepage: http://ramss.spcrd.org ISSN (Print): 2708-2024 ISSN (Online): 2708-3640

Technology-Enhanced Learning: Bridging Educational Gaps or Widening the Digital Divide in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

[a] Mohammad Sofyan Abdullah, [b] Mohammad Osman Abdullah, [c] Iram Masood, [d] Suleman John Fiaz

[a] Department of Computer Sciences, COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan
E-Mail: sofyanrajpoot567@gmail.com
[b] Department of Computer Sciences, COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan
E-Mail: osmanabdullah662@gmail.com
[c] University Law College, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan
E-Mail: shiram34760@gmail.com
[d] School of Governance and Society, University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan
E-Mail: sulemanjohnfiaz@gmail.com

ARTICLE DETAILS

History:
Accepted 21 March 2026
Available Online March 2026

Keywords:
Technology-Enhanced Learning, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Divide, Educational Inequality, Personalized Learning, Digital Literacy, Online Education, Institutional Support

JEL Classification:

DOI: *10.47067/ramss.v9i1.641*

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the role of technology-enhanced learning (TEL) and artificial intelligence (AI) in bridging educational gaps or potentially widening the digital divide in the modern educational landscape. The research method was quantitative research and the data were obtained from a sample of 350 respondents in the form of public and private universities students who were sampled using a structured questionnaire. Data were analyzed with SPSS software with descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, multiple regression and ANOVA to see the relationship between major variables. The results indicated that there is a strong positive correlation between AI use and TEL in terms of access to education, learning quality, and personalized learning experiences. It was found that AI-based learning tools correlated with good learning outcomes and regression analysis confirmed that there is a significant negative association between reduced educational inequality and digital skills, internet access, AI usage, and institutional support. In addition, the ANOVA results showed significant differences in the perceptions of opportunities and challenges for TEL implementation among respondents. The study findings broadly suggest that the potential benefits of TEL and AI to improve educational equity and learning effectiveness are great, but also greatly rely on infrastructure, digital literacy and institutional readiness – and in the absence of these, may further exacerbate the digital divide.

© 2026 The authors. Published by SPCRD Global Publishing. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0

Corresponding author's email address: sofyanrajpoot567@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Technologies are reshaping the context of education as we know it and technology-enhanced learning (TEL) is transforming the knowledge-giving, knowledge-taking and knowledge-evaluating processes and experiences at an unprecedented speed around the world (Selwyn, 2016). The last 20 years have seen a significant shift in education, shifting from a classroom environment to a more flexible and adaptive, student-first learning environment, shaped by digital platforms, online resources and artificial intelligence (AI) tools (Siemens, 2013). Technologies in learning today are more individualized and scalable than ever before with the introduction of new AI based systems that provide tutoring, new adaptive learning platforms and virtual classrooms (Holmes et al., 2019). With the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), digital technologies are becoming an increasingly relevant tool in the struggle for equitable and inclusive quality education, as shown in the monitoring reports of UNESCO and the UN World Institute for Education (UNWE). Today, in the era of technological evolution, TEL is playing an increasingly important role as an enabler of innovation, efficiency and global knowledge sharing in education systems all over the world (Schwab 2015).

Digital tools in learning also experienced acceleration due to COVID-19, highlighting the potential and hurdles of digital tools in learning (Carlsen et al., 2022). More than 1.5 billion learners were impacted in the schools closed during the pandemic and Governments, institutions have been forced to quickly devise a system of online learning (Reuge et al., 2021). This unexpected switch highlighted the potential of technology to maintain continuity of learning in times of crisis and that there were disparities in access to devices, Internet, and digital competence (Brezzi et al., 2021). Thus the conversations about TEL have moved from "Should technology be used in schools?" to "How can technology be used fairly and effectively?".

Today, AI is the next step in the TEL evolution. There are various tools and techniques that can be used to make learning paths personalized and improve learning outcomes, such as intelligent tutoring systems, automated grading, learning analytics, and chat-based support (Luckin et al., 2016). The OECD studies have found that AI can help teachers detect gaps in student learning, predict student results, and tailor interventions to individual students (Brauner, 2022). However, the benefits create serious issues regarding privacy, ethical and social implications of automation in learning (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

The possible benefits are great, but the introduction of AI and digital tools has produced a current debate on how to support TEL to close the education gap, and how digital tools can widen digital divides (van Dijk, 2020). This battle is at the heart of the ongoing educational research and policy debates. Some experts focus on the democratising role of technology, while others stress the need to be aware of structural inequalities and unequal access to technology to prevent exacerbating inequalities (Business, 2020). This duality is important to consider when evaluating the impact of technology enhanced learning in the AI world as a whole.

1.1 Educational opportunities for bridging learning gaps

One of the most important factors for TEL is its ability to access learners who are out of reach and/or out of reach. Digital platforms enable distance learning experiences, going beyond the limits of geographical space and making quality learning more accessible for learners in rural and less well-resourced areas (De Siqueira, 2012). Democratization of knowledge that relies on no physical infrastructure has been made possible by online courses, open educational resources (OER) and virtual classrooms (Weller, 2014). The World Bank research suggests that, with adequate equipment and training, digital education programs can significantly affect the educational outcomes in the world's

areas with development gap.

AI-based adaptive learning systems have improved this potential by offering personalised approaches to teaching, tailored to individual students' learning style and rate (Kulik & Fletcher, 2016). While teachers in traditional classrooms need to cater for the needs of multiple students simultaneously, AI systems can leverage real-time learning data to identify gaps and offer targeted interventions. This personalization can benefit students who might struggle in school, including students who have disabilities or have an English language barrier (Rahman et al., 2025). Over time, this has come to mean more and more than just inclusive education, because of the way that TEL is slowly becoming synonymous with offering opportunities for lifelong learning.

In addition, technology has made it possible to collaborate across the world in learning. Students are now able to communicate with other students and/or teachers from other cultures and backgrounds, which helps to build understanding and knowledge through exchange (Khupe, et al., 2026). The borders of education have been opened by massive open online courses, virtual exchange programs and global research collaborations (Yuan & Powell, 2013). It's a kind of procedure, important for educational equality and providing all users with a learning standard at the highest level, even if they can't access the internet.

The greatest advantages of TEL are that it supports teachers' professional development and teaching innovations. By leveraging AI-driven analytics, educators can gain insights into student performance, allowing for data-informed decision-making and enhanced teaching strategies (Aslam et al., 2026). With technology, administrative tasks can be reduced and time can be gained to mentor and develop critical thinking. Therefore, if applied properly, TEL can contribute towards the enhancement of student learning outcomes and teaching practice.

1.2 The RISKS of Widening the Digital Divide

It has great potential to bring about change, but a few challenges lie there as well such as a digital divide. The digital divide is the difference between the use and access to technology, Internet connectivity and skills in digital literacy (Grant, Eynon, 2017). Technology can be used to increase educational opportunities but also can add to inequalities if there are inequities in accessibility. Students in low-income neighborhoods or rural areas may not have access to reliable internet, have digital devices that don't work or are inaccessible, or may not have supportive learning environments (Watling, 2009).

The digital divide is not only manifested in the infrastructure but also in the differences in digital skills and technological readiness (Yakabu, 2024). Schools and teachers lack the skills and knowledge to be able to work effectively with the more sophisticated digital tools. The implementation of AI technologies can be a challenge if there isn't the proper support and professional development available (Vashistha, 2025).

In addition, there are ethical questions about data privacy, surveillance, and algorithmic bias, due to the increasing use of AI (Floridi et al., 2018). Large datasets are often the basis for the effective functioning of AI systems, and this can also involve sensitive student information. If there are no sound regulations and ethical policies, the application of AI in education may have unexpected effects, such as privacy breaches and discriminatory results.

An economic divide is also a significant contributor to the digital divide. For the resource rich schools, there are more low-tech options, but for the higher institutional schools and countries, the odds

are greater of investing in cutting edge technology (Assefa, 2025). This imbalance is a threat towards the development of a two-tiered education system.

1.3 Research Objectives

- To examine how technology-enhanced learning (TEL) and artificial intelligence influence access, quality, and personalization of education.
- To evaluate whether the integration of AI-driven learning tools helps reduce educational inequalities or contributes to the digital divide.
- To identify the key opportunities, challenges, and policy implications for implementing inclusive and equitable technology-enhanced education systems.

1.4 Problem Statement

The use of AI and digital technology in education is one of the most significant changes in the learning and teaching environment in recent years, with implications for learning and teaching worldwide. Although technology enhanced learning can afford opportunities of personalized learning, remote access and better learning outcomes, there is still unequal access to digital infrastructure, internet and technological skills among learners. The potential of using AI-powered learning tools can add to the burden of already marginalized learners, and few students and institutions have the resources and training necessary to effectively use these tools. Educational inequities can be aggravated by using AI-based learning resources, as many students and schools do not have the capacity or training needed to use them effectively. Therefore, it is crucial to consider whether technology-based learning is in fact narrowing the gap between the learner and the teacher or setting up an “unintended digital divide”.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research is important as it gives a balanced view of the role of technology-assisted learning in contemporary education. The study provides insights into the advantages and drawbacks of AI use, fostering conversations in the fields of education, institutions, and policy regarding the implementation of digital learning in an equitable and sustainable manner. The results can be used to inform educators, policymakers, and technology developers to create inclusive strategies to maximize learning opportunities and reduce disparities. Overall, the study will help inform the design of education systems that can harness technological innovation to ensure equitable access, high learning outcomes, and long-term sustainability within education.

2. Literature Review

2.1 A diagram showing the development of the Technology-Enhanced Learning (TEL)

In the last few decades, technology-enhanced learning (TEL) has changed dramatically, moving from the use of computers for simple CAI to the most complex enabling learning environments with artificial intelligence (AI) (Selwyn, 2016). At first, e-learning was a way to provide the tools for learning that were digitally formatted, in the form of computer based training modules and CD-ROMs (Siemens, 2013). The original systems were designed to support and enhance the traditional teaching approach, to make it more accessible and flexible. The researchers at the time highlighted the fact that digital learning environments enabled students to continue education beyond the classroom walls, thus enabling self-paced education and offering opportunities for lifelong education.

With the development of internet technology, TEL grew to more interactive and connected learning environments. The impact of the introduction of Learning Management Systems (LMS), virtual classrooms and mobile learning applications on the provision of education was far reaching. These platforms enabled the immediate communication between teachers and students, co-learning and

continuous access to academic resources. This transition was from content-driven CPD to content-free, learner-centred, dynamic and interactive learning systems which enable teachers to be more flexible (Bates, 2015). This change is reported to be important in transforming higher education systems internationally.

International educational policies and organisations have also been an important facilitator of the introduction of TEL. The theme of 'inclusive and equitable education' is always present in the UNESCO discussions about the significance of inclusive and equitable education. They report that digital technologies make a key contribution to access to education, particularly of low access and remote areas (UNESCO, 2023). The TEL approach is consistent with constructivist learning theory in which the learner creates knowledge better when he or she is involved in the learning process by collaborating with others and experiencing learning materials instead of being taught passively (Vygotsky, 1978).

The Fourth Industrial Revolution has witnessed a more rapid integration of sophisticated technologies, such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics and cloud computing, in the education system (Schwab, 2016). These have improved the personalization, efficiency and scalability of learning environments. For instance, big data research can be undertaken about pupils' behaviour and achievement, and cloud-based systems can be set up to make access to learning materials seamless. The new developments have significantly changed education into a more adaptive, data-driven and student-centred system, argue the researchers.

However, these technological advancements have a significant impact on the context in which TEL is applied, such as technology infrastructure, teacher competency and digital literacy. The results of these studies have revealed that many developing regions have not had a reliable internet connection, nor have they received training and institutional assistance in the use of TEL (World Bank, 2020). As a result, it is impossible to assume identical positive effects of digital learning, and the effects of TEL on learning outcomes of educational equity and quality need to be continuously evaluated across different socio-economic contexts.

2.2 Artificial Intelligence and Personalized Learning

Given the current educational environment, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a technology that is having the greatest impact on the transformation of learning (Holmes et al., 2019). Artificial Intelligence (AI) is one of the most important technologies that is affecting the education of today, particularly for personalized learning systems. An adaptive learning platform with AI provides algorithms and machine learning technology that analyze student data—including learning patterns, performance levels and engagement behaviors. In this context, the systems automatically adjust the complexity, offer learning resources and provide individual feedback. Based on estimates from the OECD, AI technologies could revolutionise the nature of learning outcomes, and may provide more personalised, data-driven approaches to teaching (OECD, 2021).

One of the areas in which AI is being widely studied in education is intelligent tutoring systems (ITS). Such systems mimic human tutors by giving immediate guidance, explanations, and corrections to the student. Research has also shown that students' academic achievement, conceptual knowledge and retention have improved with the use of ITS platforms when compared to a traditional classroom setting (Kulik & Fletcher, 2016). This is because these systems have the capacity to give instant feedback and tailor teaching methods for individual students, which helps minimize learning gaps and enhance understanding.

AI in education has also brought forth new capabilities such as tutoring systems and learning analytics. AI learning analytics have also brought some cutting-edge predictive features to the education sector. AI can analyse a large volume of data to identify students who are in danger of failing or dropping out of school, or who are disengaging in learning (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). This information can then be fed back to the institution where an early intervention plan can be implemented, such as remedial support, counselling, and/or individual learning plans. This proactive approach helps students stay in school and helps students prior to a crisis.

However, there are some valid concerns about the applicability of AI in the field of education. The primary concern is algorithmic bias, that is, when inequalities in the training data are unwittingly carried over by the algorithm, resulting in unequal educational opportunities for some students (Floridi et al., 2018). Additionally, privacy and surveillance is a major concern, as AI systems gather and analyse extensive student information, which can raise privacy concerns. While AI can transform education, it should be utilized responsibly, with awareness of its implications and a consideration of ethical guidelines for its use, ensuring transparency, accountability, and fairness in the educational process.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The quantitative research design was used to explore the effect of technology enhanced learning/artificial intelligence in educational access and digital divide. The research was of cross sectional survey type with data collection at one particular time. This design was deemed suitable as it enabled the researcher to measure relationships between variables efficiently. The method also allowed the statistical testing of the research objectives that were proposed.

3.2 Population of the Study

The study group consisted of University students and teachers who used Digital learning platforms and AI Education tools actively. Ensuring participants were from public and private universities, to provide the diversity in educational environments. These groups were directly involved in the technology enhanced learning environments and were thus selected as population. The experiences they had were considered relevant when assessing the opportunities and challenges in education as seen through the lens of AI.

3.3 Sampling Technique

Stratified random sampling was employed to make sure that a variety of academic disciplines and institutions are represented. The population was segmented by institution type and academic level. Sampling bias is then minimized by randomly sampling the participants, within each stratum. This method was seen as suitable to enhance generalizability of results.

3.4 Sample Size

350 respondents were selected for the study. This size was deemed to be sufficient for statistical analysis and hypothesis testing. Both males and females of different academic programs were included in the sample. Sample size selected was expected to yield reliable and valid results.

3.5 Data Collection Procedure

The data was gathered by using a structured questionnaire that was designed from prior literature. Participants were contacted using online questionnaires and email for an effective distribution. To ensure good participation, responses were collected over a period of time. Respect of informed consent and confidentiality was ensured in the data collection process.

4. Data Analysis Techniques

All the collected data has been subjected to statistical analysis for proper interpretation. Data was summarized using descriptive statistics including frequency, mean and standard deviation. Relationships between variables were tested with inferential statistics such as correlation and regression analysis. The outcome was analysed in terms of the ability of technology-enhanced learning to address learning gaps or to reinforce learning gaps and thus to help either close learning gaps or to widen the learning divide.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 350)

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	198	56.6
	Female	152	43.4
Age Group	18–22 years	112	32.0
	23–27 years	146	41.7
	28–32 years	62	17.7
	33 years and above	30	8.6
Type of Institution	Public University	205	58.6
	Private University	145	41.4
Level of Study	Undergraduate	188	53.7
	Graduate (Master)	118	33.7
	Postgraduate (MS/PhD)	44	12.6
Field of Study	Social Sciences	96	27.4
	Business & Management	84	24.0
	Computer Science/IT	74	21.1
	Engineering	58	16.6
	Other Disciplines	38	10.9
Experience with Online Learning	Less than 1 year	64	18.3
	1–3 years	142	40.6
	3–5 years	96	27.4
	More than 5 years	48	13.7

According to the demographic analysis, the majority of respondents were male (56.6%) and females (43.4%) were the minority. Participants were mostly in the age range of 23 to 27 years, meaning that young adult learners were the main users of technology enhanced learning. The majority of students were enrolled in public universities (58.6%) and the highest number of students were enrolled in undergraduate studies. The sample was diverse in academic disciplines, with a relatively large number of students of social sciences and business. In addition, the majority of respondents had a minimum of one and up to three years of experience in online learning, indicating that they were already working with digital learning environments and had the opportunity to provide valuable insights into technology-enhanced learning and the "digital divide".

Table 2: Correlation Analysis (N = 350)

Variables	Access to Education	Quality of Learning	Personalized Learning	AI Usage in Learning
Access to Education	1			
Quality of Learning	0.62**	1		
Personalized Learning	0.58**	0.71**	1	
AI Usage in Learning	0.65**	0.69**	0.74**	1

The results of the correlation analysis indicated good and positive relationships between technology-enhanced learning variables. Personalized learning (r = 0.74) and quality of learning (r = 0.69) showed strong correlations with AI usage in learning, suggesting that the more AI tools were used, the better the learning experience and the more personalized the instruction was. AI usage and the quality of learning also showed strong correlations with access to education (r = 0.65, r = 0.62, respectively), indicating that technology is key to enhancing student learning opportunities. The results as a whole support the first research aim by showing that there was a positive relationship between the use of technology-enhanced learning and the increased availability of access, quality and personalization in education and learning.

Table 3: Multiple Regression Analysis (N = 350)

Variables	Beta (β)	Std. Error	t-value	Sig. (p-value)
AI Usage	-0.31	0.052	-5.96	0.000
Internet Access	-0.28	0.047	-5.41	0.000
Digital Skills	-0.35	0.049	-6.87	0.000
Institutional Support	-0.22	0.044	-4.98	0.000
R^2	0.56			
Adjusted R^2	0.55			
F-value	110.42			0.000

The multiple regression analysis revealed that the educational inequality was significantly affected by AI and internet access, digital skills, and institutional support. The model had a good degree of explanation and accounted for 56% of the variance in the digital divide (R^2 = 0.56). Digital skills had the biggest negative impact (β = -0.35) followed by AI usage (β = -0.31), indicating that better technological competence and AI adoption was associated with a decrease in educational inequality. The digital divide was also shown to have significant negative relationships with the internet access and the institutional support, which suggests that improved infrastructure and institutional support contribute to minimizing the digital divide. Overall, the results were consistent with the second research objective, in that technology-related factors played a significant role in reducing educational disparities.

Table 4: ANOVA Analysis (N = 350)

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	Sig. (p-value)
Between Groups	78.54	3	26.18	32.67	0.000
Within Groups	277.21	346	0.80		
Total	355.75	349			

The ANOVA results indicated that there were significant differences between perceptions of opportunities, challenges, and policy implications of technology enhanced learning ($F = 32.67$, $p < 0.001$). The F-value was significant, suggesting that there was a meaningful difference in the opinions of the respondents with regards to the implementation of technology in the field of education. This finding was in line with the third research objective as it reconfirmed the different opportunities and challenges faced by learners in relation to technology-enhanced learning, which emphasized the need for appropriate policies and inclusive strategies in the implementation of technology-enhanced learning.

5. Discussion

In general, the findings of this research showed that technology-enhanced learning (TEL), artificial intelligence (AI) and other important educational outcomes such as access, quality, and personalized learning (Hariharan., 2025) are correlated to each other in positive directions. The statistical result of the correlation indicated the existence of a significant relationship between the use of AI and the learning quality and more individualized learning. This implies that an environment that is supported by AI can personalize learning content, offer real-time feedback and allow for adaptive learning paths. The findings are consistent with the OECD report on AI in Education which highlights its potential in enhancing the efficiency of education, boosting student outcomes and enabling personalized learning (OECD, 2021).

The results also aligned with the constructivist learning theory which emphasizes that learning is better facilitated when students are provided with an interactive, personalized and student-centered learning environment (IBRAHIM et al., 2024). Previous research also shows that DLS fosters student engagement and motivation as it allows for self-paced and self-paced learning (Ngaine, 2025). This is just another reminder that TEL isn't a tool that can be attached to, it's a paradigm shift in education in the digital age.

Moreover, the correlation between access to education and the use of AI implies that technology is important to help widen access to education. This is part of the goals globally for digital education, as stated by UNESCO, of "digital inclusion" for more equitable and accessible education systems (Mpu, 2023). The findings also show that these benefits of TEL are very highly contingent on the availability of sufficient infrastructure, digital tools and institutional capacity to deliver the benefits of TEL (Williams et al., 2025).

The regression analysis revealed that factors such as the use of AI, Digital skills, Internet access and institutional support are important factors in reducing educational inequality. Of these factors, technological competency/hands-on experience with technology was most strongly negatively correlated, making technological skills the most important variable at reducing the digital divide. The discovery underscores the need to not only have technology available, but also have the skills to use the technology in meaningful ways.

The results are in line with the World Bank's findings, which emphasize the importance of digital literacy in the effective implementation of technology in educational systems (Shankar et al., 2025). The issue of digital skills is also more of a determinant for educational inequity than access to physical devices (as per previous studies, Yadav, 2025). It is therefore important to ensure that the students and teachers become more technologically literate in order to realize the potential of technology in the learning process.

Furthermore, it was determined that institutional support also had an impact on decreasing educational disparities. It implies that institutions that make a concerted effort to invest in digital infrastructure, offer technical help and training to faculty are more likely to provide equal learning outcomes. The findings align with the literature discussed above that found that other factors, including organizational readiness and support systems, are crucial factors in successful implementation of educational technologies (Rudinger, 2020).

Overall, the findings suggest that technology has the potential to positively contribute to educational inequity, but it needs other aspects of skills development, infrastructure and institutional support. If not, technology can inadvertently exacerbate inequalities, rather than address them. The ANOVA results indicated that there were significant differences between the respondents on their perception on opportunities, challenges and policy implications of technology enhanced learning. This means that the TEL is experienced in different ways by learners depending on their level of access, digital competence and institutional setting. This difference reflects the complexity and differential in technology integration in authentic educational contexts.

Previous research also shows that although there are great opportunities for TEL to help increase access to education, better engagement and flexible learning, the tool presents great challenges. From access inequalities to digital resources, to privacy concerns with data, and the overreliance on technology to learn, there are issues to be resolved (Mukherjee et al., 2025). Some research shows that technological progress can have beneficial effects on students in well-resourced schools, but in under-resourced schools, students can have difficulty fully engaging with the technology and thereby exacerbating inequalities.

The findings also indicate the importance of having strong policy mechanisms to ensure the ethical and effective use of AI and digital technologies in education. Advocacy for regulation to ensure data protection, fairness, transparency and equitable access to technology is being championed in line with the recommendations of international organizations. If these policies are not in place, the potential dangers of AI in education could be greater than the advantages. Finally, it is reiterated that technology-assisted learning can be a catalyst for educational change, but this depends on the context. For it to be effective, it needs to be backed up by technological development, but also by effective policies and investment in capacity building and in the equitable distribution of resources to ensure it does not widen, but rather close educational gaps.

6. Conclusion

This study's results highlight the importance of technology-enhanced learning (TEL) and artificial intelligence (AI) in improving accessibility to education, quality of learning, and individual learning experiences. The statistical results, including the correlation and regression analyses, confirmed that there is a positive correlation between AI tools and digital learning platforms and positive connection between the two and better education outcomes. The study simultaneously identified that these benefits are significantly intertwined with the digital skills, access to the internet and institutional support. But if these conditions are not met, the effective potential of TEL can't be achieved.

Technological tools can help to close educational gaps, but unequal access to technology, and the different levels of digital literacy, can exacerbate inequalities if they are not addressed. The results of ANOVA showed that there were also differences in the perception of opportunities and challenges, indicating that the impact of TEL is not universal among all learners. Overall, the study suggests that technology-enhanced learning is a potent innovation in the field of education and that successful

applications of this technology depend on its accessibility, provision of enabling infrastructure, and the integration of inclusive education policies.

7. Future Implications

Continuous research is needed to learn more about long-term impacts of AI and technology enhanced learning on educational equity across geographic regions and socio-economic populations. Also, new technologies, such as "generative AI" and "immersive learning environments" that could have a future impact on education should be investigated. The focus should be on investing in digital infrastructure, teacher training and digital literacy courses to help technology be available for everyone. Further, the ethical guidelines need to be reinforced in order to tackle issues of data privacy, algorithmic bias, and just use of AI in education.

References

Aslam, S., Qin, F., Jaya, J., Ahmad, I., Abid, S., Hussain, B., ... & Bilal, M. Z. (2026). Bridging the smart divide: a cross-cultural study of AI-enhanced learning adoption in Pakistan and Malaysia. *SN Social Sciences*, *6*(5), 172.

Assefa, E. A. (2025). AI and the digital divide in ensuring educational access and multicultural inclusivity. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society*.

Brauner, Y. (2022). Agreement? What Agreement? The 8 October 2021, OECD Statement in Perspective. *Intertax*, *50*, 2.

Brezzi, M., González, S., Nguyen, D., & Prats, M. (2021). An updated OECD framework on drivers of trust in public institutions to meet current and future challenges. *OECD working papers on public governance*, (48), 0_1-60.

Business, D. (2020). World Bank Group. *línea]. Disponible en*.

Carlsen, L., & Bruggemann, R. (2022). The 17 United Nations' sustainable development goals: A status by 2020. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, *29*(3), 219-229.

De Siqueira, A. C. (2012). The 2020 World Bank education strategy: Nothing new, or the same old gospel. In *The World Bank and Education* (pp. 67-81). Brill.

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and machines*, *28*(4), 689-707.

Grant, L., & Eynon, R. (2017). Digital divides and social justice in technology-enhanced learning. In *Technology enhanced learning: Research themes* (pp. 157-168). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Hariharan, A. (2025). Artificial Intelligence for Optimal Learning: A Comparative Approach towards AI-Enhanced Learning Environments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.11755*.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

IBRAHIM, T. A., & JIBIA, S. A. (2024). Utilizing technology for education: Exploring innovative ways in education to bridge the digital divide in underserved communities. *International Journal of Library Science and Educational Research*.

Khupe, D., Zlotnikova, I., & Hlomani, H. (2026). Advancing Technology-Enhanced Learning: A Systematic Literature Review of Innovative Frameworks and Emerging Technologies for Education 4.0. *Journal of Teaching and Learning*, *20*(1), 152-184.

Kulik, J. A., & Fletcher, J. D. (2016). Effectiveness of intelligent tutoring systems: a meta-analytic review. *Review of educational research*, *86*(1), 42-78.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed. *An argument for AI in Education*, *18*(2), 22-43.

Mpu, Y. (2023). Bridging the knowledge gap on special needs learner support: the use of artificial intelligence (AI) to combat digital divide post-covid-19 pandemic and beyond–a comprehensive literature review. *Intellectual and Learning Disabilities-Inclusiveness and Contemporary Teaching Environments*.

Mukherjee, S., Dey, S., & Mukhopadhyay, J. (2025, December). Connected Minds, Inclusive Futures: Bridging Digital Gaps in Higher Education. In *International Conference on Intelligent Information Systems Design and Indian Knowledge System Applications (ICISDIKSA 2026)* (pp. 27-44). Atlantis Press.

Ngaine, L. K. (2025). Bridging the Digital Divide in Kenyan Public Universities: An Appraisal of ICT and Artificial Intelligence Readiness for Fostering Inclusive Pedagogy and Sustainable Development in Education. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS)*, *9*(11).

Rahman, S., & Ahmed, E. (2025). Advancing Education in the Digital Age: Exploring the Potential and Challenges of Technology-Enhanced Learning. *Journal of e-learning Research*, *4*(2), 17-28.

Reuge, N., Jenkins, R., Brossard, M., Soobrayan, B., Mizunoya, S., Ackers, J., ... & Taulo, W. G. (2021). Education response to COVID 19 pandemic, a special issue proposed by UNICEF: Editorial review. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *87*, 102485.

Rudinger, B. J. (2020). Technology-enhanced learning. In *Quality Education* (pp. 876-884). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Schwab, K. (2015). World economic forum. *Global Competitiveness Report (2014-2015)*.

Selwyn, N. (2016). Digital downsides: Exploring university students' negative engagements with digital technology. *Teaching in Higher Education*, *21*(8), 1006-1021.

Shankar, S. K., Pothancheri, G., Sasi, D., & Mishra, S. (2025). Bringing teachers in the loop: Exploring perspectives on integrating generative AI in technology-enhanced learning. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, *35*(1), 155-180.

Siemens, G. (2013). Learning analytics: The emergence of a discipline. *American behavioral scientist*, *57*(10), 1380-1400.

Souza, J. D. F. (2024). UNESCO, World Bank, and OECD: global perspectives on the right to education and implications for the teaching profession. *Educar em Revista*, *40*, e94756.

Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. John Wiley & Sons.

VASHISTHA, M. (2025). The Role of Educational Technology in Bridging Learning Gaps Across Diverse Learners. *Knowledge without borders: Empowering futures through diverse disciplines. EduCrea Global Publications*, 149-156.

Watling, S. (2009). Technology-enhanced learning: a new digital divide. *The future of higher education: policy, pedagogy and the student experience*, 83-96.

Williams, D., Vinson, T., Young, K., Williams, D., & Mixon, J. R. (2025). Bridging the Gap: Harnessing Emerging Technology to Narrow the Academic Achievement Gaps of Minority Students. *The Journal of the Research Association of Minority Professors*, *27*(2), 1.

Yadav, S. (2025). Digital pathways to excellence for bridging gaps and building competencies. *Holistic approaches to teacher development: Leadership, pedagogical practices, and cognitive insights: Leadership, pedagogical practices, and cognitive insights*, *287*.

Yakubu, Y. (2024). Application of artificial intelligence in technology enhanced learning for sustainable growth and inclusive development in Nigeria. *Zaria Journal of Educational Studies (ZAJES)*, *24*(1), 64-75.

Yuan, L., & Powell, S. J. (2013). MOOCs and open education: Implications for higher education.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators?. *International journal of educational technology in higher education*, *16*(1), 39.